Buchanan Ingersoll PC

Facsimile Cover

Buchanan Ingersoll PC including the attorneys of Burns, Doane, Swecker & Mathis, L.L.P. Suite 500
1737 King Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314-2777 ALEXANDRIA FAX CENTER Group 3 Fax: +1.703.836.5021
Group 4 Fax: +1.703.836.0021
Group 4 Fax: +1.703.836.0021
www.bipc.com

The information contained in this facsimile message is or may be attorney-client privileged and contains confidential information intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named below and others expressly authorized to receive it. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this message is prohibited and you are asked to notify us immediately by telephone and to return this message to us by mail without copying it. Any questions regarding compatibility should be directed to our Office Services Department at +1.703.836.6620.

Date:

November 11, 2005

TO:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Fax Number:

571-273-8300

Company:

Telephone:

Your Reference:

FROM:

James A. LaBarre

Telephone:

703-838-6648

Our Reference:

032326-035

Sent By:

Karen Deloatch

Number of Pages

Including Cover:

Message:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being sent by Facsimile Transmission to the Assistant Commissioner For Patents, P.O. Box 1460, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 opt

Name: Sames A. Labarra

(Typed or printed name of person algoing the

(Bigheture of person signing the certificate

Date: November // Zoos

Patent Attorney's Docket No. <u>032326-035</u>

IN THE COPPED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE		DECEIVED
In re Patent Application of) MAIL STOP AF	CENTRAL FAX CENTER
Lionel Jean et al.	Group Art Unit: 2131	NOV 11 2005
Application No.: 09/462,925) Examiner: Syed Zia	
Filed: June 2, 2000	Confirmation No.: 5660	
For: A METHOD OF MANAGING SECURE TERMINAL	GA)	

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Advisory Action dated October 27, 2005, and the undersigned's subsequent telephone conversation with the Examiner, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the refusal to enter the Amendment filed September 19, 2005.

The Office Action dated June 17, 2005, states that claims 2, 3, 7-11, 13, 17-21, 23 and 24 "would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims." Pursuant to this statement, claims 2, 3, 7, 10 and 11 were rewritten in independent form, and rejected claim 1 was canceled. All other pending claims either depended from, or were amended to depend from, one of the allowable claims that was rewritten in independent form.

Thus, based upon the statement set forth in the Office Action, the application was placed in condition for allowance. In the Advisory Action dated October 27, 2005, entry of the Amendment was denied, and the previous grounds of rejection was repeated. Again,